



OVERSTRAND MUNICIPALITY
Sector: Public Liaison: Hangklip- Kleinmond Administration /
OVERSTRAND MUNISIPALITEIT
Sektor: Openbare Skakeling: Hangklip- Kleinmond Administrasie

WARD / WYK 10

WARD COMMITTEE PUBLIC MEETING /
WYKSKOMITEE PUBLIEKE VERGADERING

**MINUTES OF THE WARD COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY,
 11 NOVEMBER 2025 AT 18:00 IN THE CRASSULA HALL, BETTY'S BAY**

**NOTULE VAN DIE WYKSKOMITEEVERGADERING GEHOU OP DINSDAG,
 11 NOVEMBER 2025 OM 18:00 IN DIE CRASSULASAAL, BETTY'S BAAI**

PRESENT:		
ADMINISTRATION:	Mr Anver Wyngaard	Public Liaison: Hangklip/Kleinmond
	Ms Karla Gerber du Toit	Administrator: Strategic Support Services
	Mr A Mitchell	Contact Centre OM
	Mr T Oppelt	Systems Administrator
WARD 10 COMMITTEE MEMBERS:		
	Cllr Theresa Els	Ward 10 Councillor
	Cllr Malcolm Grimbeek	PR Councillor
	Mr Amund Beneke	Sunny Seas
	Dr Norah Clarke	Coastal Rewilding
	Ms Anuta Scholtz	Rooiels Ratepayers' Association
	Mr Gert Bam	Pringle Bay Ratepayers' Association
	Mr Bangikhaya Mantshinga	Backyard Dwellers
	Mr Wayne Jackson	Betty's Bay Ratepayers' Association
	Vacant	Mooiuitsig
APOLOGIES:	Mr Stephen Viljoen	Betty's Bay Neighbourhood Watch
ABSENT WITHOUT APOLOGY:		
	Ms Veliswa Mbem	Sport & Recreation
	Mr Innocent Vula	Overhills Community
MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC:	21	

1.	WELCOME	ACTION & TARGET DATES
	Cllr Els welcomed and thanked all attendees, and introduced Cllr Grimbeek, Ward 10 Committee members, Mr Anver Wyngaard: Public Liaison: Kleinmond, Ms Karla Gerber du Toit: Administrator: Strategic Support Services, Mr A Mitchell: Contact Centre OM and Mr T Oppelt: Systems Administrator.	Noted

	The Chair informed Mr Wyngaard had been appointed to assist the Ward 9 and 10 Councillors with matters relating to the IDP, Ward Committee (WC) Rules and unblocking of service delivery. Mr's Mitchell and Oppelt had attended the meeting to assist the public with matters relating to the Collab Citizen App.	
2.	APOLOGIES	
	Apology: Mr S Viljoen.	Noted
3.	MATTERS ARISING: SITE VISITS PRESENTATION	
	Cllr Els briefly described the purpose of site visits, which were implemented by the Speaker chiefly to expose Ward Committee members to matters being discussed in their Ward. The Chair presented the PowerPoint Site Visits report and touched on work still to be completed including revamping of the ablution block in Pringle Bay. Since the OM currently only had two directors to sign off on projects, the meeting was informed of a need within the OM to change the Supply Chain policy. The Chairperson showed photographs of a meeting held by the Overstrand Multi Party Women's Commission. Cllr Els was the Chairperson and District Commissioner for SALGA in the Overberg. One of the goals of the multi-party women's commission was to look at the IDP through a different "lens". The IDP should include various groups, specifically the vulnerable, LGBTQ, elderly and females. Additionally, to investigate policies and make recommendations in relation to the IDP regarding those whose voices were not being heard.	Noted
4.	FINAL IDP PRIORITIES REVIEW 2026/2027	
	Cllr Els emphasised the IDP was the "what" and not the "how", meaning that it was a wish list of priorities of a Ward. In the context of local governance, IDP referred to Integrated Development Plan. At Ward level, the IDP served as the primary mechanism through which community needs and priorities were identified, planned for, and incorporated into the municipality's overall strategic plan and budget. The IDP therefore, ran in a 5-year cycle, was not a list the Chair drew up, but rather was a list of items decided on with input from WC members and their constituents relating to specific needs in their Ward. Mr Wyngaard informed the IDP process was guided by the Municipal Systems Act. He explained the formal timeline schedule of the IDP, indicating that Public WC meetings were being held at present, whereafter he would make the final submission on 20 November 2025 to the relevant responsible manager. Thereafter the IDP would be an internal process, whereafter the public would again have an opportunity for input in April/May of 2026 during Public Roadshows. The Chair informed on the OM budget priorities for the current financial year. <u>Budget priorities 2025/26:</u> Budget priorities 2025/26 Expenditure Wards 9 and 10 Kleinmond/Hangklip Refurbishment of Buffelsriver Water TW – R29 397 000 Water Pipe Replacements – R11 833 400 Pringle Bay – R1 723 000 Betty's Bay – R7 053 500 Kleinmond – R2 256 900 Proteadorp – R800 000 Upgrade Kleinmond Sport Facilities – R8 772 000 Upgrade and Replace Electrical Network – R4 000 000	Noted

	<p>Roads Reseal and Rehabilitation – R7 440 000 Re-gravel and Dust Control – R8 000 000 Upgrade Sewer System Mooiuitsig – R750 000 Housing Project Kleinmond IRDP – R500 000 The Draft IDP priorities were then discussed (see Annexure A). Cllr Els noted that WC members could form sub-committees who then had to consult the public, to identify critical matters and report back to the WC committee with recommendations on the “how” of realising items on the IDP. Three (3) sewerage tankers and two (2) refuse trucks had been acquired. The Chair informed an application had to be made for Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) funding to upgrade the Soccer Field. Cllr Els requested attendees to make use of the Collab Citizen App to load service requests, since it was a more efficient process. If service delivery issues are not being attended to, residents can take a photo or screenshot of the collaborator app where the issue has been reported, and send it to the Councillor, who would forward it to Mr Wyngaard to unblock service delivery issues.</p>	
	Questions, Responses and Comments:	
Q1.	<p>It was questioned why Stormwater was at item 3 but there was no money in the budget. The Chair informed it was precisely what they were striving for given that the R1m allocated was not enough to do all the work required. Discussions relating to same had been held, together with politicians and at caucus, and Dir Stephen Müller would need to drive the motivation.</p>	Noted
Q2.	<p>Mr F Krige questioned the plans for water pipe replacement at Mooiuitsig and was asked to send a motivation to Mr Patrick Robinson, who had already done planning to identify the need.</p>	Noted
Q3.	<p>A question about traffic calming was raised, and a request was put forth for signage. Cllr Grimbeek responded by saying most people believed that traffic calming equalled the building of speed bumps. He informed traffic calming was guided by legislation and required to adhere to four principles: environment, engineering, education and Law Enforcement. Public Participation was required going forward. Cllr Els replied that Traffic Calming had been included on the IDP. A Public meeting had been held in June 2025 with Minister Simmers. As a consequence, speed on the R44 had been reduced to 60km/h in some areas and a no-U-turn sign had been erected. Attendees were urged to factor in human behaviour, that the R44 was a Provincial Government road and that an application for speed calming had been submitted.</p>	Noted
Q4.	<p>A member of the public enquired when every item on the list would be complete. The Chair explained the IDP was a wish list which was presented to the Budget Steering Committee and the Operational team. Hence, budgeted funds would be spent but money had not yet been allocated to this list.</p>	Noted
Q5.	<p>Questions relating to the erection of a High School were raised. Cllr Els informed that land for schools was available. This property, however, was not municipal property, but belonged to Department of Public Works. A High School was on the IDP for both Ward 9 and 10, but it should be noted that schooling is a Provincial Mandate. If residents wanted to start with private schooling, they could contact a Senior Town Planner to investigate the availability of suitable land.</p>	Noted
Q6.	<p>Consultation and engagement with the public was discussed as well as how items could be added to the list. The Chair informed the manner in which to add an item to the IDP was in consultation with the WC representative and Ward Councillor. In other words, for an organisation to use official channels.</p>	Noted
Q7.	<p>It was questioned whether the upgrade of community halls had been included in the IDP, whether there were funds available for same and if it was a priority for the OM.</p>	Noted

	Mr Wyngaard answered that maintenance had been done at Mooiuitsig Community Hall and work had commenced to rubberise the roof. The Executive Mayor had reinstated Ward Specific Funding, and all wards had an amount allocated to them. These funds would be made available after the adjustment budget and the maintenance of the Mooiuitsig Community Hall will be upgraded using such funds. He acknowledged these funds were not adequate for maintenance of Community Halls.	
Q8.	One member of the public wondered where one could access the Medium-Term Budget Framework and was informed it was available on the OM website.	Noted
Q9.	Roads were a topic of contention, and it was asked why roads were scraped instead of gravelled. The gentleman argued that many mistakes had been made and that the continual addition of sand/gravel to dirt roads had led to a situation where roads were now higher than erven. This practice was described as wasteful and fruitless expenditure. He urged those responsible for Roads to perform their duties correctly and to implement water drainage systems to avoid flooding on roads after rainfall. Questions posed were: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What lessons had been learnt? 2. What would be done about the fact that, according to the law, houses must be above street level? The Chair highlighted that drainage was priority number three (3) and she informed that Mr van Rhodie had indicated a need for R40m to do the work required.	Noted
Q10.	A member of the public asked whether it was possible to still comment on the Draft IDP and was informed that there will be an opportunity at the Public Draft Budget meeting in April of 2026.	Noted
Comment:	A motivation had been written in December 2024 regarding the matter of Stormwater, but it was noted the work that had been done was diametrically opposed to current work. It was recommended to revise the plan and warned that there would be an increase in stormwater in future. The Chair commented she could not respond since Dir Stephen Müller would be responsible for responding to the comment.	Noted
	The Public and the WC agreed that the Draft IDP Priorities be recommended to Council for consideration and approval.	Recommended
5.	CLOSURE	
	Cllr Els thanked attendees for their input, wished everyone well over the Festive Season and got a standing ovation from the public. The meeting was adjourned at 19:12.	Noted